

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

DDI-2941-72

31 October 1972

Executive Registry

72-5703

MEMORANDUM FOR:

[REDACTED]
Special Assistant for Information
Control

STAT

THROUGH:

[REDACTED]
Chief, Executive Staff, O/DDI

STAT

SUBJECT:

Draft Notice and Regulations on
E.O. 11652

1. We have looked over the E.O. 11652 drafts as you requested. Generally speaking they appear to us to be in good order. There is a certain schizophrenic quality about them emphasizing the need both to protect information from disclosure and to insure that information is available to the public, but this arises from the situation and there is nothing that can be done about it pending hopefully one day a determination by the White House that foreign intelligence should be exempted from E.O. 11652.

2. With regard to the support to be provided to the CIA Information Review Committee by the Director, CRS, (HN attachment, page 4) I strongly urge that paragraph b.(c) use the same language as that used on page 21, paragraph E. in the 13 June 1972 [REDACTED] which has been approved by the ICRC, i.e., "if feasible, the data index system shall include the following data for each item indexed." The fact is that the data index system as presently planned will not include items (1) identity of classifier, (8) original or other known addressee, or (10) file designation. This information will be obtained from the document itself or the originating office. Inclusion in our present index is not feasible.

STAT

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ - INTERNAL USE ONLY

SUBJECT: Draft Notice and Regulations on E.O. 11652

STAT

3. The draft [] on page 6, e.(10) contains the sentence that "whenever feasible, documents ... differing in their overall security classification category should not be filed or stored in the same container or otherwise physically connected." This sentence is not entirely realistic as it applies to present and intended practice. Documents of differing security classification category are filed together more often than not. Secret and Confidential documents are almost universally filed together. To separate them would be costly, inefficient, and add little to their protection. CRS has some [] biographic and installation hard copy dossiers which contain Confidential and Secret, collateral and codeword documents. The dossiers also contain Top Secret codeword, but not Top Secret collateral documents which are in fact filed separately. Codeword and collateral but not security classification category are kept separate within the dossiers. CRS document collections--hard copy, microfilm, and digital--are stored separately in collateral, Top Secret collateral, and codeword libraries.

STAT

STAT

4. Draft [] paragraph q. Procedure to Downgrade or Declassify, page 14, states that the notice of downgrading or declassification shall be sent to all addressees to whom the information or material was originally officially transmitted, and that these addressees shall notify all other known recipients. At the present time this is a time-consuming but infrequent requirement in CRS. If downgrading or declassification become frequent, it will impose a serious problem. Under such circumstances notification will have to be limited to Records Center, the CRS document libraries and AEGIS. In line 11, we recommend omitting "for indexing purposes" and restating: "It is particularly important that the Central Reference Service be notified in order that document files and index records can be modified."

INTERNAL USE ONLY

SUBJECT: Draft Notice and Regulations on E.O. 11652

5. While paragraph d. Security Handling, page 6 of the draft HHB probably applies more to the DDP than the DDI, it is our reaction that it should not be included in an unclassified handbook. It does not tell anyone anything they do not already know and could easily be interpreted within and without the Agency as an attempt to withhold non-national security information from the public.

6. Draft [] last sentence, pages 18 and 19, should probably end with a cautionary note, "so long as they do not associate field recipients with CIA." Draft [] (4), page 2 also deals with this problem and may be sufficient; however, this paragraph could be more clearly written.

[]

Director, Central Reference Service